UCN: 522020MM003665XXXXMM

FL0520000

Person ID 311493882 Charge Description Felony Misdemeanor Warrant Traffic Ordinance Traffic Citation # (if any) Charge RESISTING AN OFFICER; WITHOUT VIOLENCE (OBSTRUCTION) Defendant's Name (Last, First, Middle) BURNS, ANDREW CHRISTOPHER DUL# 572292309 REPORT # SO20-81696 DOCKET # 1833889 Court Case # Court Case # 20-03665-MM-1 BURNS Sex Race Ht Wt Hair Eyes Skin MW 510 220 BRO BRO BRO BRO DL# 572292309			
Charge Description Felony Misdemeanor Warrant Traffic Ordinance Traffic Citation # (if any) Court Case # Charge RESISTING AN OFFICER; WITHOUT VIOLENCE (OBSTRUCTION) Defendant's Name (Last, First, Middle) BURNS, ANDREW CHRISTOPHER DOB 11/30/1988 M W 510 220 BRO BRO Alias DI # State Scars/Marks/Tattons/Physical Features			
Charge RESISTING AN OFFICER; WITHOUT VIOLENCE (OBSTRUCTION) Defendant's Name (Last, First, Middle) BURNS, ANDREW CHRISTOPHER DOB 11/30/1988 M W 510 20-03665-MM-1 Eyes Skin W 510 BRO BRO Alias			
RESISTING AN OFFICER; WITHOUT VIOLENCE (OBSTRUCTION) Defendant's Name (Last, First, Middle) BURNS, ANDREW CHRISTOPHER DOB 11/30/1988 M W 510 20-03665-MM-1 Eyes Skin W 510 BRO BRO BRO			
BURNS, ANDREW CHRISTOPHER 11/30/1988 M W 510 220 BRO BRO Alias State Scars/Marks/Tattons/Physical Features			
Alias DI.# State Scars/Marks/Tattoos/Physical Features			
Alias DL # State Scars/Marks/Tattoos/Physical Features State NY			
572292309 NY			
Local Address (Street, City, State, Zip Code) Telephone Place of Birth NEWYORK USA			
Permanent Address (Street, City, State, Zip Code) Telephone Employed by / School 107 CRESTWOOD LN LARGO FL 33770			
Weapon Seized Type Indication of Y N UNK Indication of Mental Y N UNK Indication of Y			
Co-Defendant's Name (Last, First, Middle) DOB Sex Race In Custody Tyes No			
□Felony □Misdemeanor			
Co-Defendant's Name (Last, First, Middle) DOB Sex Race In Custody Tyes No			
□ Felony □ Misdemeanor			
The undersigned swears that he/she has reasonable grounds to believe that the above named defendant on the 14 day of MARCH, 2020,			
4.40 ANA MEGT DAY DDUIADDOD MEMI NI DELL'EAID DI HEEO, EL 20			
at approximately 1:40 AM , at WEST BAY DR/HARBOR VIEW LN, BELLEAIR BLUFFS, FL 33 ,in Pinellas County did:			
UNLAWFULLY OBSTRUCT OR OPPOSE DEPUTY C MILLER, A DULY AND LEGALLY CONSTITUTED LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OF THE			
PINELLAS COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, WHILE IN THE LAWFUL EXECUTION OF A LEGAL DUTY, WHICH CONSISTED OF TRAFFIC INVESTIGATION WITHOUT OFFERING OR DOING VIOLENCE TO THE PERSON OF THE OFFICER.			
ON THE ABOVE DATE AND TIME I CONDUCTED A TRAFFIC STOP ON A VEHICLE FOR SEVERAL TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS. CONTACT WAS			
ON THE ABOVE DATE AND TIME. I CONDUCTED A TRAFFIC STOP ON A VEHICLE FOR SEVERAL TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS. CONTACT WAS			
ON THE ABOVE DATE AND TIME, I CONDUCTED A TRAFFIC STOP ON A VEHICLE FOR SEVERAL TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS. CONTACT WAS MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE.			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION, WHEN HE STATED "MY LICENSE OR MY BADGE?". I REQUESTED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THEN ADVISED "ATF."			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION, WHEN HE STATED "MY LICENSE OR MY BADGE?". I REQUESTED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THEN ADVISED "ATF." (BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES) A LAW ENFORCEMENT DATABASE QUERY YIELDED THAT THE			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION, WHEN HE STATED "MY LICENSE OR MY BADGE?". I REQUESTED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THEN ADVISED "ATF."			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION, WHEN HE STATED "MY LICENSE OR MY BADGE?". I REQUESTED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THEN ADVISED "ATF." (BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES) A LAW ENFORCEMENT DATABASE QUERY YIELDED THAT THE DEFENDANT'S DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS SUSPENDED, WHICH CAUSED SUSPICION THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. UPON FURTHER QUESTIONING, THE DEFENDANT STATED THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS WILLFULLY INTERRUPTED MY DUI INVESTIGATION.			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION, WHEN HE STATED "MY LICENSE OR MY BADGE?". I REQUESTED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THEN ADVISED "ATF." (BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES) A LAW ENFORCEMENT DATABASE QUERY YIELDED THAT THE DEFENDANT'S DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS SUSPENDED, WHICH CAUSED SUSPICION THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. UPON FURTHER QUESTIONING, THE DEFENDANT'S			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION, WHEN HE STATED "MY LICENSE OR MY BADGE?". I REQUESTED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THEN ADVISED "ATF." (BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES) A LAW ENFORCEMENT DATABASE QUERY YIELDED THAT THE DEFENDANT'S DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS SUSPENDED, WHICH CAUSED SUSPICION THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. UPON FURTHER QUESTIONING, THE DEFENDANT STATED THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS WILLFULLY INTERRUPTED MY DUI INVESTIGATION.			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION, WHEN HE STATED "MY LICENSE OR MY BADGE?". I REQUESTED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THEN ADVISED "ATF." (BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES) A LAW ENFORCEMENT DATABASE QUERY YIELDED THAT THE DEFENDANT'S DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS SUSPENDED, WHICH CAUSED SUSPICION THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. UPON FURTHER QUESTIONING, THE DEFENDANT STATED THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS WILLFULLY INTERRUPTED MY DUI INVESTIGATION. **Contrary to Florida Statute/Ordinance_843.02_**			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION, WHEN HE STATED "MY LICENSE OR MY BADGE?". I REQUESTED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THEN ADVISED "ATF." (BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES) A LAW ENFORCEMENT DATABASE QUERY YIELDED THAT THE DEFENDANT'S DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS SUSPENDED, WHICH CAUSED SUSPICION THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. UPON FURTHER QUESTIONING, THE DEFENDANT STATED THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS WILLFULLY INTERRUPTED MY DUI INVESTIGATION. Contrary to Florida Statute/Ordinance 843.02 ARREST DATE: 3/14/2020Time 2:26 AM . Aggravating/Mittigating Factors			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION, WHEN HE STATED "MY LICENSE OR MY BADGE?". I REQUESTED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THEN ADVISED "ATF." (BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES) A LAW ENFORCEMENT DATABASE QUERY YIELDED THAT THE DEFENDANT'S DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS SUSPENDED, WHICH CAUSED SUSPICION THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. UPON FURTHER QUESTIONING, THE DEFENDANT STATED THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS WILLFULLY INTERRUPTED MY DUI INVESTIGATION. Contrary to Florida Statute/Ordinance 843.02 ARREST DATE: 3/14/2020 Time 2:26 AM Aggravating/Mitigating Factors Booking Officer: HUSTON 59305 Amount of Bond 150 Bond Out Date Time 1a.m. 1p.m.			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION, WHEN HE STATED "MY LICENSE OR MY BADGE?". I REQUESTED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THEN ADVISED "ATF." (BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES) A LAW ENFORCEMENT DATABASE QUERY YIELDED THAT THE DEFENDANT'S DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS SUSPENDED, WHICH CAUSED SUSPICION THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. UPON FURTHER QUESTIONING, THE DEFENDANT STATED THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS WILLFULLY INTERRUPTED MY DUI INVESTIGATION. Contrary to Florida Statute/Ordinance 843.02 ARREST DATE: 3/14/2020 Time 2:26 AM Aggravating/Mitigating Factors Booking Officer: HUSTON 59305 Amount of Bond 150 Bond Out Date Time a.m. p.m. Victim Notified of Advisory? Yes No Medical Treatment to Victim? Yes No Medical Treatment to Victim? Yes No Medical Treatment to Victim? He probable cause determination is passed for: 124 Hrs 124 Hrs on showing of extraordinary circumstances Received by 860king 3/14/2020 4:20:18 AM			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE DENTIFICATION, WHEN HE STATED "MY LICENSE OR MY BADGE?". I REQUESTED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THEN ADVISED "ATF." (BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES) A LAW ENFORCEMENT DATABASE QUERY YIELDED THAT THE DEFENDANT'S DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS SUSPENDED, WHICH CAUSED SUSPICION THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. UPON FURTHER QUESTIONING, THE DEFENDANT STATED THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS WILLFULLY INTERRUPTED MY DUI INVESTIGATION. Contrary to Florida Statute/Ordinance 843.02 ARREST DATE: 3/14/2020 Time 2:26 AM Aggravating/Mitigating Factors Booking Officer: HUSTON 59305 Amount of Bond 150 Bond Out Date Time Data Trime Amount of Bond 150 Bond Out Date Time Data Trime Amount of Bond 150 Bond Out Date Time Data Trime Amount of Bond Part reviewed this complaint and finds there: Dis probable cause to detain defendant Bond Action, if any: The probable cause determination is passed for: D24 Hrs D4 Hrs on showing of extraordinary circumstances Received by Booking 2/(34/2020 4:20:18 AM PURSUANT OF PURSUANT AND PU			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, SUSPENDED, WHICH CAUSED SUSPECTION THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. UPON FURTHER QUESTIONING, THE DEFENDANT STATED THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS WILLFULLY INTERRUPTED MY DUI INVESTIGATION. Contrary to Florida Statute/Ordinance 843.02 ARREST DATE: 3/14/2020 Time 2:26 AM			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, SUSPENDED, WHICH CAUSED SUSPECTION THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. UPON FURTHER QUESTIONING, THE DEFENDANT STATED THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS WILLFULLY INTERRUPTED MY DUI INVESTIGATION. Contrary to Florida Statute/Ordinance 843.02 ARREST DATE: 3/14/2020 Time 2:26 AM			
MADE WITH THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT, WHO WAS THE FRONT SEAT PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE. WHILE SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE, I OBSERVED NUMEROUS SIGNS OF INTOXICATION. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER, WHEN THE DEFENDANT INTERRUPTED ME AND STATED THAT HE WAS "OFF DUTY." THE DEFENDANT BEGAN TO IMPEDE MY INVESTIGATION BY TALKING OVER ME AS I WAS SPEAKING TO THE DRIVER. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT IF HE WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND IF SO, WHAT AGENCY HE WAS EMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT ID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. I ASKED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION, WHEN HE STATED "MY LICENSE OR MY BADGE?". I REQUESTED THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE, AND AGAIN ASKED WHAT AGENCY HE WAS ENMPLOYED BY. THE DEFENDANT THEN ADVISED "ATF." (BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES) A LAW ENFORCEMENT DATABASE QUERY YIELDED THAT THE DEFENDANT'S DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS SUSPENDED, WHICH CAUSED SUSPICION THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. UPON FURTHER QUESTIONING, THE DEFENDANT STATED THAT HE WAS NOT A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS WILLFULLY INTERRUPTED MY DUI INVESTIGATION. Contrary to Florida Statute/Ordinance 843.02 ARREST DATE: 3/14/2020Time 2:26 AM			

COCR59 (Revised 10/2014)

835380 Copies to:

files

ADVISORY AND SOLVENCY HEARING

The above named Defendant came before me for Advisory and Solvency hearing and was advised by me of the charge(s) against him; his right to remain silent; that any statements by him may be used against him; his right to counsel, and, if he is financially unable to afford counsel, that counsel forthwith will be appointed; of his right to communicate with his counsel, family or friends, and that reasonable implementation will be afforded him to contact the foregoing.

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT:

☐ A. Defendant has advised the Court t	that he has retained counsel or will retain counse	el.
☐ B. The Court investigated Defendant	's solvency and found the Defendant financially	y able to secure counsel.
	's solvency and provisionally appointed the Pul	
DATE AND TE	o counsel at the first appearance only.	JUDGE
• •	at the first appearance only. financially able to secure counsel, hereby wa file a written request for a review of my solven	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	DEFEN	DANT'S SIGNATURE
Thumb Print		
I HEREBY acknowledge receipt of a co	opy of the foregoing Complaint and Advisory.	
DEFENDANT'S SIGNATURE	DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY'S SIGNATURE	DATE